Agenda Item 15



Report to Policy Committee

Author/Lead Officer of Report: Philip Gregory, Director of Finance and Commercial Services

| | Tel: +44 114 474 1438 |
|-------------------|---|
| Report of: | Philip Gregory, Director of Finance & Commercial Services |
| Report to: | Adult Social Health & Care Policy Committee |
| Date of Decision: | 20 th September 2023 |
| Subject: | 2023-24 Q1 Budget Monitoring Report |

| Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken? Yes | No | x | | |
|---|---------|---|--|--|
| If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? (Insert reference r | number) | | | |
| Has appropriate consultation taken place? Yes | No | X | | |
| Has a Climate Impact Assessment (CIA) been undertaken? Yes | No | x | | |
| Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? Yes | No | X | | |
| If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the report and/or appendices and complete below:- | | | | |
| "The (report/appendix) is not for publication because it contains exempt in under Paragraph (insert relevant paragraph number) of Schedule 12A o Government Act 1972 (as amended)." | | | | |

Purpose of Report:

This report brings the Committee up to date with the Council's outturn position for Q1 2023/24 General Fund revenue position.

Recommendations:

The Committee is recommended to:

The Committee is asked to note the updated information and management actions provided by this report on the Q1 2023/24 Revenue Budget Outturn as described in this report.

Background Papers: 2023/24 Revenue Budget

| Lea | Lead Officer to complete: - | | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications | Finance: Philip Gregory, <i>Director of Finance and Commercial Services</i> | | |
| | indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms | Legal: Sarah Bennett, Assistant Director, Legal and Governance | | |
| | completed / EIA completed, where required. | Equalities & Consultation: <i>Adele Robinson,</i> <i>Equalities and Engagement Manager, Policy, and</i> <i>Performance.</i> | | |
| | | Climate: n/a | | |
| | Legal, financial/commercial and equalities in the name of the officer consulted must be in | mplications must be included within the report and ocluded above. | | |
| 2 | SLB member who approved submission: | Philip Gregory, Director of Finance and Commercial Services | | |
| 3 | Committee Chair consulted: | Cllr Zahira Naz, Chair of the Finance Committee | | |
| 4 | on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklis | en obtained in respect of the implications indicated st and that the report has been approved for nember indicated at 2. In addition, any additional as required at 1. | | |
| | Lead Officer Name: Philip Gregory | Job Title: Director of Finance and Commercial Services | | |
| | Jane Wilby | Head of Accounting | | |
| | Date: 31 st August 2023 | | | |

1. PROPOSAL

1.1. This report provides an update on the current outturn position for Sheffield City Council's revenue and capital budget for 2023/24.

2023-24 Q1 Financial Position by Directorate


1.2. At the end of the first quarter of 2023-24, the Council's revenue budget shows a forecast overspend of £17.6m.

| Full Year £m | Outturn | Budget V | ariance |
|---|---------|----------|---------|
| Neighbourhood Services | 138.2 | 135.0 | 3.2 |
| Adults | 138.3 | 134.8 | 3.5 |
| Children's | 124.0 | 115.3 | 8.7 |
| Strategic Support | 52.4 | 47.7 | 4.7 |
| City Futures | 44.0 | 43.4 | 0.6 |
| Public Health & Integrated Commissioning | 10.7 | 10.9 | (0.2) |
| Corporate | (490.1) | (487.1) | (3.0) |
| Total | 17.6 | (0.0) | 17.6 |

1.3. This overspend is due to a combination of factors. Agreed Budget Improvement Plans ("BIPs") are not forecast to fully deliver within the year. There are underlying cost and demand pressures faced by services that are partially offset by one-off items. These "one-offs" consist of grant income, draws from specific reserves or provisions and income from central government or external sources.

| Full Year Variance £m | One-off | BIPs | Trend | Total Variance |
|---|---------|------|-------|-------------------|
| Neighbourhood Services | (4.1) | 2.5 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| Adults | (9.9) | 3.9 | 9.5 | 3.5 |
| Children's | (3.9) | 3.7 | 8.9 | 8.7 |
| Strategic Support | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| City Futures | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Public Health & Integrated Commissioning | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| Corporate | 0.0 | 0.0 | (3.0) | (3.0) |
| Total | (17.9) | 10.6 | 25.0 | 17.6 |

1.4. In 2021/22, the Council set aside £70m of reserves to manage the financial risks associated with delivering a balanced budget position. Overspends against budgets in 2021/22 and 2022/23 have meant we have drawn almost £40m from this reserve already leaving just over £30m to manage any future budget deficits. If we overspent by £17.6m as this current forecast outturn position suggests, just £13m would be left to mitigate future budget pressures.



1.5. 2023-24 Q1 Financial Position by Committee

1.5.1. The major budget risk areas are in Childrens & Adults Social Care and in Homelessness services

| Full Year £m | Outturn | Budget | Variance |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Adult Health & Social Care | 146.9 | 143.7 | 3.2 |
| Education, Children & Families | 124.7 | 115.8 | 8.9 |
| Housing | 10.2 | 7.0 | 3.2 |
| Transport, Regeneration & Climate | 39.6 | 40.0 | (0.4) |
| Economic Development & Skills | 9.5 | 9.4 | 0.1 |
| Waste & Street Scene | 63.5 | 64.2 | (0.8) |
| Communities Parks and Leisure | 41.7 | 41.3 | 0.3 |
| Strategy & Resources | (418.4) | (421.5) | 3.1 |
| Total | 17.6 | (0.0) | 17.6 |

1.5.2. In 22/23, the Council's forecast overspend improved by over £14m from the first quarter's forecasts to final outturn. This was mainly due to additional income received rather than underlying improvements in budgets and cost reductions. A big contributor to this was the Government's £500m discharge fund announced in November 2022, the sustainability of this income source and other mitigations from the last financial year are still unclear and cannot be relied upon.

Many underlying budget issues in social care services still remain and this is reflected in the current forecast position.

1.5.3. Most of the overspend is due to underlying cost and demand pressures in services. We estimate that £25m is embedded in the baseline costs but is somewhat mitigated by one-off income:

| Full Year Variance £m | One- off | BIPs | Trend | Total Variance |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------|-------|-------------------|
| Adult Health & Social Care | (9.9) | 3.9 | 9.1 | 3.2 |
| Education, Children & Families | (3.9) | 3.7 | 9.1 | 8.9 |
| Housing | (1.7) | 0.2 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| Transport, Regen & Climate | 0.0 | 0.1 | (0.5) | (0.4) |
| Economic Development & Skills | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Waste & Street Scene | (0.5) | 0.2 | (0.4) | (0.8) |
| Communities Parks and Leisure | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Strategy & Resources | (1.8) | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Total | (17.9) | 10.6 | 25.0 | 17.6 |

1.5.4. Balancing the General Fund 2023/24 budget was only possible because the Council identified £47.7m of savings:

| Committee | Total Savings | Financial Savings Deliverabl e in Year | In Year Gap | Financial Savings Deliverabl e Next Year | Undelivera ble Savings |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|--|------------------------------|
| Adult Health & Social Care | 31.6 | 27.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| Communities, Parks & Leisure | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
| Economic Devt & Skills | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | 0.0 |
| Education, Children & Families | 6.9 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 3.4 |

General Fund Budget Improvement Plans (in £m)

| Waste & Street Scene | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | | | |
| Transport, Regen & Climate | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | | 0.1 |
| Strategy & Resources | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| Housing | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | | 0.2 |

The current forecasts show £10.6m savings plans are undeliverable this year. This represents a delivery rate of 78% against target. In 22/23, less than 65% of savings targets were delivered. Whilst we are improving upon overall delivery performance, we are still falling short of targets meaning further draws could be required from our reserves to meet these overspends if they are not managed and mitigated. Delivering in year budgets must be a key focus for all services for the Council to retain financial sustainability.

1.5.5. Whilst inflation is beginning to fall, costs incurred are very unlikely to fall significantly resulting in these increased costs now being embedded in our cost base. There is an increased demand for services alongside cost pressures in social care, home to school transport and homelessness services.

1.6. Key Committee Overspends:

| 1.6.1. | Adult Health and Social Care are forecast to overspend by £3.2m | The high cost of packages of care put in place during covid increased our baseline costs and this carries into 23/24. A huge amount of work has been done as part of an investment plan to tackle the underlying issues. One off funding has mitigated the position this year leaving a £0.7m overspend in the purchasing budgets. Work continues on the package reviews to reduce the baseline costs for the future. Recovery work is underway including establishment of Task & Finish groups and the development of business cases around invest to saves including focus on enablement, day services, reviewing high cost 1 to 1 support and maximising income. The main area of overspend in the service now sits in staffing budgets. Service improvements in the Short -Term Intervention Team (STIT) are underway to deliver a stable position. |
|--------|--|---|
| 1.6.2. | Education, Children and Families are forecast to overspend by £8.9m | The key overspends in the service relate to placements with external residential placements a particular issue which are forecast to exceed the previous year's costs by £4.8m. This sits alongside undelivered targets from the previous year of £2m. The average placement cost is £5,400 per week. However due to a limited number of places in the city, placements for the most complex children can cost a great deal more. Actions are being taken to ensure that the right costs for placements are being met by all elements including education and where possible health. High-cost placements are also being reviewed. |
| | | The savings proposal for £1.6m to increase fostering placements this year is forecast to not be delivered. Marketing is taking place, but our number of foster carers remains static. Nationally this has been an issue since the pandemic as older foster carers decided to exit the market and there has not been the like for like recruitment to new foster carers. |

| | | Further demand in home to school transport costs are forecast to create a £3m overspend against budgets this year. This has the potential to increase in October when we know exactly how many children require transportation to school. An overarching review of this area will commence in 2024. |
|--------|---|---|
| 1.6.3. | Homelessness support in | The Government does not fully subsidise all housing benefit payments made by the Council even though it sets the rules |
| | temporary and | that determine the amount the Council has to pay. In 2022/23, |
| | exempt accommodation | the Council incurred a loss of £5.9m as a result of the legislation relating to temporary homelessness and supported |
| | is forecast to | accommodation. The Council is essentially bridging the gap |
| | cost the Council £8.4m | between the amount the accommodation costs to procure and the amount we are able to recover via housing benefits. |
| | | In 2023-24, this is forecast to cost the Council £4.9m for temporary accommodation and £3.5m for supported accommodation. The shortfalls are split between the Housing General Fund and Strategy and Resources budgets respectively. |
| | The Budget Imp | Nomentation Group |
| | | olementation Group |
| 1.6.4. | A subgroup has been set up to drive | A senior officer working group has been established to help drive delivery of the budget. The purpose of the Budget Improvement Group (BIG) is to improve the delivery of the |
| | improvements in | Council's annual Revenue Budget (both General Fund and |
| | Budget delivery | Housing Revenue Account) and in particular the delivery of the Budget Improvement Plans (BIPs). It will look to facilitate |
| | | Council wide learning. The group is jointly chaired by the |
| | | Director of Finance and Commercial Services and the Chief Operating Officer. The group has a nominated core member |
| | | from each Directorate: Adults, Children's, City Futures, |
| | Transformation | Neighbourhoods and Strategic Support Services. |
| 165 | We identified | As part of 2023-24 budget setting, the Council identified a |
| 1.6.5. | £4m to support | £4m fund that would be used to support programmes of |
| | transformation | change in the organisation, expedite the delivery of savings |
| | activity | plans or support where delivery of savings has become "stuck". The "BIG" group has provided advice, challenge, and |
| | | recommendations for allocation of the transformation funding |
| | | to the Council's Performance and Delivery Board. |
| | | In August 2023, the Performance & Delivery board approved |
| | | bids to support delivery of programmes in Adult Social Care, Housing, Children's services, ICT, HR, and Organisational |
| | | Strategy to build upon the Future Sheffield programme. These |
| | | key projects will help stabilise the organisation and bring budgets back to a steady footing for the future. Each |
| | | programme of work will be monitored, and progress reported |
| | | to the Council's Performance & Delivery board to ensure activity remains on track. Overall performance will be |
| | | reported to S&R committee and finance committee as part of |
| | | in-year budget monitoring, with relevant policy committees overseeing progress on programmes in their areas. |
| | | |

| | Medium Term F | inancial Analysis (MTFA) |
|--------|--|--|
| 1.6.6. | The MTFA presented to S&R Committee on 7 th September detailed committee budget savings targets | An updated medium term financial analysis is due to be presented to Strategy & Resources committee on 7 th September to give members an early view of the forecast financial position for the Council for the next 4 years and to set the financial constraints within which the budgeting and business planning process will need to work to achieve a balanced budget position over the medium term. The analysis forecasts a budget gap of £18m for 24/25 that will need to be bridged by services in order to set a balanced budget for 2024/25. |

1.6.7. The below table outlines the proposed committee savings targets following an equitable application of funds resulting in a deliverable outcome for all Committees:

| 2024/25 | Remaining Income Allocations | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Committee | Original Pressures | Pay award Funded | ASC Precept | Social Care Grant | Significant RPIX contracts and Housing Benefits | Other Funding (split based on NRB) | Target to Find | Savings Identified | Income | Pressures | Adjusted Target To Find |
| Adult Health & Social Care | 27.0 | (1.9) | (5.4) | (10.9) | | (0.9) | 7.8 | (4.6) | (4.5) | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| Education, Children & Families | 12.4 | (2.7) | | (5.0) | | (0.7) | 4.1 | 0.0 | (0.2) | 4.4 | 8.3 |
| Housing General Fund | 3.6 | (0.4) | | | (2.5) | (0.0) | 0.7 | 0.0 | (0.0) | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Transport, Regeneration & Climate | 1.0 | (0.4) | | | | (0.2) | 0.5 | 0.0 | (0.1) | (0.1) | 0.3 |
| Economic Development & Skills | 0.9 | (0.2) | | | | (0.1) | 0.7 | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Waste & Street Scene | 9.8 | (0.6) | | | (6.4) | (0.4) | 2.5 | 0.0 | (0.5) | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| Communities Parks and Leisure | 1.5 | (1.2) | | | | (0.2) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.2) | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Strategy & Resources (Corporate) | 9.9 | 0.0 | | | (3.0) | 0.0 | 6.9 | (6.2) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Strategy & Resources (Committee) | 4.7 | (2.7) | | | (0.8) | (0.4) | 0.8 | (0.4) | (0.1) | (0.0) | 0.3 |
| Total | 70.8 | (10.0) | (5.4) | (15.9) | (12.7) | (2.9) | 23.9 | (11.1) | (5.6) | 11.0 | 18.1 |

Key points to note:

- The proposal will cover the anticipated 2024/25 pay awards for all Committees.
- The Adult Social Care Precept is applied to the AHSC Committee.
- The Social Care grant is split between Adult Social Care & Education, Children & Families based on their relative shares of the original social care pressures for 2024/25.
- £7.2m has been allocated towards contract inflation pressures which are out of the control of the relevant committee. Examples include the waste contract, highways, and Microsoft licencing.
- £5.5m has also been set aside to cover the significant increase in Housing Benefit subsidy losses for Exempt Properties (S&R £3m) and £2.5m contribution to support the large increase in Homelessness accommodation costs around housing benefits support.
- 1.6.8. Services are required to develop solutions to bridge the budget gap for 2024/25 and bring forward proposals to the November policy committee meetings. At the same time working hard to bring the in-year overspend down through ongoing work on recovery plans and additional support to deliver budget implementation plans (BIPs).

This current forecast in-year overspend must be urgently managed and mitigated to avoid the risk that the Council has to look to our available Budget Contingency Reserve (£30m) to balance at year end. Maintenance of a prudent level of contingency reserves is critical to ensure stability and sustainability for 2024/25 onwards.

23-24 Q1 Committee Budget Outturn Position

1.7. Adult Health & Social Care- £3.2m overspend

| The forecast revenue | Full Year Forecast £m | Outturn | Budget | Variance |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------|--------|----------|
| outturn position for the AHS&C | ADULTS, CARE AND WELLBEING | 138.3 | 134.8 | 3.5 |
| Committee is overspent by £3.2m | INTEGRATED COMMISSIONING (Partnership Funding; Supporting Vulnerable People - Housing Related Support/Drugs and Alcohol Services) | 8.5 | 8.9 | (0.3) |
| | Total | 146.9 | 143.7 | 3.2 |

| 1.7.1. | The 2023/24 settlement | Full Year Variance £m | One- off | BIPs | Trend | Total Varian ce |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|------|-------|-----------------------|
| | provided additional "one-off" | ADULTS, CARE AND WELLBEING INTEGRATED | (9.9) | 3.9 | 9.5 | 3.5 |
| fu | funding for social care | COMMISSIONING (Partnership Funding; Supporting Vulnerable People - Housing Related Support/Drugs and Alcohol Services) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.3) | (0.3) |
| | | Total | (9.9) | 3.9 | 9.1 | 3.2 |

In February 2023 the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) approved the 2023/24 settlement for Local Government. Included within the Settlement were some funding and taxation commitments for 2024/25. These included details of Council Tax thresholds and additional funding for social care.

Beyond 2024/25 the picture is less clear. However, there is a general acknowledgement that due to fiscal constraints, there will be very little, if any, increase in public sector spending in unprotected services such as Local Authorities over the remaining period of the Medium-Term Financial Analysis. This settlement has been treated as "one-off" in year due to future uncertainty.

1.7.2. Of the £31.6m savings targets, £27.6m are on track to be delivered in year with some saving set to outperform budget, leaving a £3.9m in year gap:

| Financial RAG | Total Savings | Savings Deliverable in Year | In Year Gap | Savings Deliverable Next Year | Undeliverable Savings |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Red | 9.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Amber | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Green | 21.1 | 22.5 | -1.4 | 0.0 | -1.4 |
| Total | 31.6 | 27.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 |

Budget Savings (BIPS) £m

| Savings Description | Total Savings | Savings Deliver able in Year | In Year Gap | Savings Deliver able Next Year | Undeli verable Savings |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|------------------------------|
| Appropriate use of residential care | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Contract savings | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Dedicated case management for young | | | | | |
| adults | 0.4 | | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Direct Payments | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Driving Improvements in Social Work | | | | | |
| Practice | 1.1 | | 1.1 | | 1.1 |
| Ending of temporary funding | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
| Homecare Transformation Project - | | | | | |
| Strength Based Reviews | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| New approach to joint packages of care | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | | 0.5 |
| Nursing care costs | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Review cost increases | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Review of Better Care Fund | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Review of Living & Ageing Well | 0.2 | | 0.2 | | 0.2 |
| Review of Living & Ageing Well | 0.7 | | 0.7 | | 0.7 |
| Review significant cost increases | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Reviewing homecare post pandemic | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Supported Living | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| RED BIPS Total | 9.5 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |

| Purchasing activities are | Full Year £m | Outturn | Budget | Var. | |
|------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| overspent by £0.7m | Learning Disabilities | 34.6 | 32.5 | 2.0 | |
| | Older People | 23.2 | 24.1 | (0.8) | |
| | Physical Disabilities | 17.5 | 18.2 | (0.8) | |
| | Mental Health | 9.3 | 9.1 | 0.2 | |
| | Total Purchasing | 84.6 | 83.9 | 0.7 | |
| | Health Care inco including establi development of | shment of | task & finis | sh groups a | and the |
| | including focus of cost 1 to 1 supp | on enabler | nent, day s | services, re | viewing high |

| position in 2023/24 | Disability Facilities Grant |
|---|--|
| Transformation funding has been approved to support delivery of the BIPs | Funding has been approved to keep agency teams in place until the end of the financial year. This is a short-term investment to reduce long-term costs. Additional governance arrangements have been put in place to manage the performance of agency teams, with monthly reporting to the Council's Performance and Delivery Board. |
| A delay in housing related support provision is forecast to create a small underspend in 2023/24 | A £0.3m underspend in Integrated Commissioning relates to Housing Related Support. Expenditure had been previously agreed for a new complex needs service for vulnerable adults who have accommodation needs. The service is unable to start until a suitable property is found and because it has not been possible to secure anywhere to date, the service will not start until later in the year. |
| 1.7.7. The Fair Cost of Care Exercise and Social Care Reform will increase Adult Social Care responsibilities and costs | Fair Cost of Care is to determine an appropriate fee level on over-65 Care Homes and Homecare delivery. SCC are currently an average to low payer when benchmarked against other Local Authorities which indicates the potential to have to increase rates above current forecast levels. Any grant allocated is unlikely to fully cover the cost of those increases. |
| | Social Care Reform will levy significant new responsibilities on Local Authorities and introduces a cap on care costs. The grant allocated is unlikely to fully cover the costs of those increases or the required increase staffing base needed to deliver our new responsibilities. |
| | Following an announcement in the government's Autumn Statement 2022, the planned adult social care charging reforms are now delayed until October 2025. Market pressure may present a risk to Sheffield City Council's budgets, without clarity on support from Central Government. |
| Savings delivery remains the biggest challenge to the | The key financial risk going into 2023/24 for the service is the pace of savings required and the impact of prior year's savings carrying into 2023/24 on top of current challenges. when significant new additional savings are also required of the service. |
| committee's financial position | As with the other areas of the Council, cost and pay inflation are the major drivers for social care pressures into the medium term. Adults Social Care services are also forecasting increased pressures as a result of fee uplifts, growth and other demographic changes, plus increased transition costs between children's and adult care. |
| | 2023/24 Transformation funding has been approved to support delivery of the BIPs A delay in housing related support provision is forecast to create a small underspend in 2023/24 The Fair Cost of Care Exercise and Social Care Reform will increase Adult Social Care responsibilities and costs |

| 1.7.9. | The number of children in care is fairly stable | Even though there is an increase in demand at the front door, we are maintaining our number of children in care that is with a backdrop of increased Unaccompanied Asylum Seeker Children. The number of looked after children has reduced from 674 (2021), to 666 (2022) to 653 (2023). This is low in contrast to comparators. This impacts on the cost of placements given the cases tend to be more complex and therefore more expensive. | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.7.10. | We are struggling to recruit foster carers | The savings proposal for £1.6m to increase fostering placements this year is also forecast to not be delivered. Marketing is taking place, but our number of foster carers is remains static. Nationally this has been an issue since the pandemic as older foster carers decided to exit the market and there is not the like for like recruitment to new foster carers. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Foster placements has dropped from 71.0%to 65.1%, this has caused the major rise to the number of children placed in children's homes, secure units, and hostels (including semi- independent living) from 19.0% to 25.1%, which is largely higher than comparators (range 12%to 16%). | | | | | | | | |
| | | This needs to be seen in the changes to our placement mix- more young people who we look after are young asylum- seeking children – who historically have been less likely to be placed within family-based care. A project is underway to increase Supported Lodgings – which should impact the use of semi-independent living. Whilst we want to increase the offer across the city, we are specifically working to target communities who have expressed an interest in supporting young people from asylum seeking backgrounds and who we have not historically reached effectively. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7.11. | Home to school transport is set to cause a £3m overspend this year | to create a £3m overspend against budgets this year. This has the potential to increase further in October when we know | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7.12. | Dedicated Schools | DSG Full Year Forecast £m | Outturn | Budget | Variance | | | | | |
| | Grant (DSG) is forecast to | Children & Families | 6.9 | 6.9 | (0.0) | | | | | |
| | overspend by £0.3m | Education & Skills | 231.9 | 231.8 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| | | Integrated Commissioning | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 | | | | | |
| | | Total | 242.2 | 242.0 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| | | The main cause of overspend in Education & Skills is £0.1m increase in Early Years EHCP plans. | | | | | | | | |
| | | The integrated commissioning overspend relates to back dated costs of increased Medical Services contract with Nexus. | | | | | | | | |

2. HOW DOES THIS DECISION CONTRIBUTE?

2.1 The recommendations in this report are that the committee notes their 2023/24 budget forecast position and takes action on overspends.

3. HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONSULTATION?

3.1 There has been no consultation on this report, however, it is anticipated that the budget process itself will involve significant consultation as the Policy Committees develop their budget proposals

4. RISK ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

- 4.1 Equality Implications
- 4.1.1 There are no direct equality implications arising from this report. It is expected that individual Committees will use equality impact analyses as a basis for the development of their budget proposals in due course.
- 4.2 <u>Financial and Commercial Implications</u>
- 4.2.1 The primary purpose of this report is to provide Members with information on the City Council's revenue budget monitoring position for 2023/24.
- 4.3 <u>Legal Implications</u>
- 4.3.1 Under section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, the Chief Finance Officer of an authority is required to report on the following matters:
 the robustness of the estimates made for the purposes of
 - determining its budget requirement for the forthcoming year; and
 - the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves.
- 4.3.2 There is also a requirement for the authority to have regard to the report of the Chief Finance Officer when making decisions on its budget requirement and level of financial reserves.
- 4.3.3 By the law, the Council must set and deliver a balanced budget, which is a financial plan based on sound assumptions which shows how income will equal spend over the short- and medium-term. This can take into account deliverable cost savings and/or local income growth strategies as well as useable reserves. However, a budget will not be balanced where it reduces reserves to unacceptably low levels and regard must be had to any report of the Chief Finance Officer on the required level of reserves under section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, which sets obligations of adequacy on controlled reserves.
- 4.4 <u>Climate Implications</u>
- 4.4.1 There are no direct climate implications arising from this report. It is expected that individual Committees will consider climate implications as they develop their budget proposals in due course.
- 4.4 <u>Other Implications</u>

4.4.1 No direct implication

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

5.1 The Council is required to both set a balance budget and to ensure that in-year income and expenditure are balanced. No other alternatives were considered.

6. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 To record formally changes to the Revenue Budget.